UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/582,833	06/14/2006	Ari Pekkarinen	915-001.090	6761	
WARE FRESSOLA VAN DER SLUYS & ADOLPHSON, LLP BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224 MONROE, CT 06468			EXAMINER		
			YEUNG LOPEZ, FEIFEI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2826		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/24/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/582,833	PEKKARINEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
FEI FEI YEUNG LOPEZ	2826		

	FEI FEI YEUNG LOPEZ	2826	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>08 February 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on t application, applicant must timely file one of the following re application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appea for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affidav al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth er than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date o have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exte under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sh set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later that may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli	ance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extens Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wit	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	ut prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered be	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cons (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better appeal; and/or	**	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a co	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1 See attached Nation of Nan Co	maliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		mpiiant Amendment (PTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	ercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (F13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Minh-Loan T. Tran/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 2826		

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Both Applicants and Examiner agree that all three inventions (Applicants', Hong's, and Sherwood's) have potential barrier electrostatic current needs to overcome. Applicants differentiate their invention from those of Sherwood and Hong by stating that the potential carrier in the current application to be "small;" And the potential barriers in the cited references not "small" enough to "constantly" pass electrostatic charges to ground. However, nowhere in Applicants' disclosure enables one of ordinary skill in the art to figure out how "small" these "small contact potentials" are. As shown in equations [8.1], [8.2] and figure 8.1 of Neamen cited previously that bult-in potential between semiconductor and metal is determined by the semiconductor material, the electrode material, and the dopant concentration of the semiconductor material. Applicants never disclose the data necessary to conclude that the barrier patential is "small" compared to those in Hong's and Sherwood's.